

Dear court clerk

8-24-08

C 08-001 CRB

please note that I have never recieved that  
Blank subpoens on this case - Ie recieved  
them on the other two But not this one.  
I sent a notice 7-12-08 to inform you  
and you sent me

1. C-08-0802, C-08-0757,

I had requested an extension of time to  
be extended from the date you sent the  
subpoens forms. please inform Judge

Thank you!

**FILED**

SEP - 2 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Dear Court Clerk

8-12-08

8-16-08

I had Recieved an Order from the court Dated July 2 08 Order Of service  
I also recieved a 60 Dayyextention notice included with a notice of a  
discovery acceptance and amendment order to Amend The Doe defendants.  
There was to be a Subpoena Blank form Notédebeing sent but I have not  
recieven any yet. Please Re-AdJust the 60 Day extention order to be  
noted the same date of receipt when I get it. Thank You !

Also is there a packet on Cival Procedures or filings on Interrogatories  
and Rules of the court Packet, I am sorta Having troubles figuring out  
what all to do and who I send stuff to. Im not even sure If I am doing  
the subpoena notice right and How I file it. If there is anything to  
assist me in a fair way so i could have the tools to effectively plead  
and defend my claim. Please send.

Sincerly Submitted; Jeffrey Walker

This was originaly sent - 7-12-08  
However I did not note the CIVIL  
case in question:  
1. case # C 08-0801 - I never  
received the subpoenas for  
This case #. 8-16-08

**FILED**

JUL - 2 2008

**RICHARD W. WIEKING**  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFFREY E. WALKER,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

JANE LOVELLE, et al.,

Defendant(s).

No. C 08-0801 CRB (PR)

ORDER OF SERVICE

#10 - Pr

Plaintiff, a prisoner at the California Medical Facility in Vacaville, has filed a pro se First Amended Complaint for damages under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("FAC") alleging that, while he was at the San Francisco County Jail, jail officials denied him adequate mental health treatment. Among other things, plaintiff alleges that despite his mental health history, the named defendants often put him in situations where he was likely to injure himself or attempted suicide.

Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations appear to state a cognizable § 1983 claim for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs against the named defendants and will be ordered served. See Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976). Plaintiff may proceed against the unnamed Doe defendants if, within 60 days of this order, he identifies them via discovery (the clerk is sending him a blank subpoena) and moves to amend to add them.